

C20 Distributed Systems

Example Paper

Kostas Margellos

Hilary Term 2022

kostas.margellos@eng.ox.ac.uk

Problems

1. Consider the function

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \max \left\{ (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2, (x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \right\},$$

where x_1, x_2 are scalars.

- (a) Show that F is strictly convex and its minimum is achieved at $(x_1^*, x_2^*) = (0, 0)$.
- (b) Provide the main iterations of the Jacobi algorithm applied to the unconstrained minimization problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} F(x_1, x_2).$$

- (c) Show that if the Jacobi algorithm is initialized at $(x_1(0), x_2(0)) = (1, 1)$ then it does not converge to the minimum $(0, 0)$, but the generated iterates remain at $(1, 1)$.

Which assumption is violated so that the Jacobi algorithm does not converge to $(0, 0)$ from any initial condition?

2. Consider the proximal minimization algorithm. For a given step-size $c \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\Phi_c(y) = \min_{x \in X} F(x) + \frac{1}{2c} \|x - y\|^2$$

be the mapping that achieves the minimum value in the main step of the algorithm. If F is a convex function with respect to x , show that $\Phi_c(y)$ is a convex function with respect to y .

Hint: Recall that the Euclidean norm is a convex function.

3. Suppose that the sets $X_1, \dots, X_m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ have a non-empty intersection. Consider then the minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, \dots, x_m, z} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i - z\|^2 \\ \text{subject to } & z \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ & x_i \in X_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Applying the Gauss-Seidel algorithm to this problem show that it is equivalent to the following main iterations

$$\begin{aligned} z(k+1) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i(k) \\ x_i(k+1) &= \Pi_{X_i}[z(k+1)], \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Pi_{X_i}[\cdot]$ denotes the projection of its argument on the set X_i , i.e., $\Pi_{X_i}[z(k+1)] = \arg \min_{x_i \in X_i} \|x_i - z(k+1)\|$.

- (b) Show that the Augmented Lagrangian algorithm applied to this problem leads to the same update steps.
- (c) Provide a geometric interpretation for this minimization problem.
4. Consider the main iterations in part (a) of Problem 3, and replace the first one by

$$z(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i(k),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are positive scalars such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$. Show that the modified iterations converge.

5. Consider the unconstrained minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \rho \|x\|_1,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\rho > 0$ are given. By $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ we denote the Euclidean and first norm, respectively.

- (a) Introducing an auxiliary decision vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as appropriate, show that the main iterations of the Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) applied to this problem take the form

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= \arg \min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda(k)^\top x + \frac{c}{2} \|x - z(k)\|_2^2 \\ z(k+1) &= \arg \min_z \rho \|z\|_1 - \lambda(k)^\top z + \frac{c}{2} \|x(k+1) - z\|_2^2 \\ \lambda(k+1) &= \lambda(k) + c(x(k+1) - z(k+1)). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Show that the first ADMM update step admits the closed form expression

$$x(k+1) = \left(A^\top A + cI \right)^{-1} (A^\top b + cz(k) - \lambda(k)),$$

where I is an $n \times n$ identity matrix.

- (c) Show that for each element $j = 1, \dots, n$ of $z(k+1)$, the second ADMM update step admits the closed form expression

$$z_j(k+1) = \begin{cases} x_j(k+1) + \frac{1}{c} \lambda_j(k) - \frac{\rho}{c} & \text{if } x_j(k+1) + \frac{1}{c} \lambda_j(k) > \frac{\rho}{c}; \\ 0 & \text{if } |x_j(k+1) + \frac{1}{c} \lambda_j(k)| \leq \frac{\rho}{c}; \\ x_j(k+1) + \frac{1}{c} \lambda_j(k) + \frac{\rho}{c} & \text{if } x_j(k+1) + \frac{1}{c} \lambda_j(k) < -\frac{\rho}{c}. \end{cases}$$

Hint: Distinguish between the case where $z_j > 0$ and $z_j < 0$ to perform the minimization in the second ADMM update step.

6. Consider the problem equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i) &\Leftrightarrow \min_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R} \\ z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{R}}} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i) \\ \text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^m x_i &= 0 & \text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^m z_i &= 0 \\ & & x_i &= z_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Using the “grouping” in x - and z -variables suggested above, provide the main iterations of the ADMM algorithm applied to this problem.

- (b) The projection of a vector $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$ on the plane $\sum_{i=1}^m z_i = 0$ is given by

$$\Pi_{\{\sum_{i=1}^m z_i=0\}}[\zeta] = \zeta - \frac{1}{m} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \zeta \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \zeta$ is the “dot” product between a $1 \times m$ row-vector and ζ . Use this fact to show that the ADMM iterations determined in part (a) take the form

$$x_i(k+1) = \arg \min_{x_i} f_i(x_i) + \lambda(k)x_i + \frac{c}{2} \|x_i - (x_i(k) - \bar{x}(k))\|^2$$

$$\lambda(k+1) = \lambda(k) + c\bar{x}(k+1),$$

$$\text{where } \bar{x}(k) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i(k).$$

7. Consider the minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \quad & \alpha(x+1)^2 + \alpha(x-1)^2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & x \in [-M, M], \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 < M < \infty$. Treat this program as a two-agent problem with $f_1(x) = \alpha(x+1)^2$, $f_2(x) = \alpha(x-1)^2$, and $X_1 = X_2 = [-M, M]$.

- Provide the main iterations of the distributed projected gradient algorithm applied to this problem. You may assume that for all iterations $k = 1, \dots$, the “mixing” weights are given by $a_j^i(k) = \frac{1}{2}$, for all $i, j = 1, 2$.
- If the algorithm is initialized at $x_1(0) = -1$ and $x_2(0) = 1$, provide a closed form expression for the updates $x_i(k)$, $i = 1, 2$.
- Compare these updates with the ones of the distributed proximal minimization algorithm derived in Lecture 4 of your notes. Which of the two algorithms converges faster to the minimizer $x^* = 0$?